

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,550	07/25/2003	Se-Yeul Bae	009844-0305239	9321
909	7590 03/23/2005		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP		MALSAWMA, LALRINFAMKIM HMAR		
P.O. BOX 103 MCLEAN, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2823	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/626,550	BAE, SE-YEUL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lex Malsawma	2823	

Before the filling of all Appear Bilot	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lex Malsawma	2823					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 11 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first properties of the first properties. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: see remarks on the continuation sheet. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 121. See attached Notice of Non-Co		(PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 		•	_				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar							
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	, , , , , ,	•	nce because:				

Applicant's remark/arguments have been carefully reviewed and considered; however, the proposed amendment to claim 1 will not be entered because the proposed amendment changes the scope of the claims. Accordingly, the proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and search before a determination as to the patentability of the proposed claims can be properly made.

In reference to Aoki (US Pat. No. 6,387,821), Applicant's remarks regarding Aoki's HS[Q] film are not persuasive for the following reason. Attention is directed to the current specification, wherein Applicant does NOT provide any details as to what materials may or may not be considered to be a low temperature oxide. More specifically, Applicant describes the "low temperature oxide" simply as "An oxide formed in a furnace with a low temperature, preferably 150~500 [deg. C]" (see specification, page 4, lines 8-9). Since the current specification does NOT provide any more specific details as to material and process for forming the "low temperature oxide", the Examiner maintains that Aoki discloses a "low temperature oxide" that meets all limitations that are specified and claimed in the current application.

OLIK CHAUDHURI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800